	Application No.	Applicant(s)
Office Action Summary	10/646,738	NAGAI ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
The MAILING DATE of this communication annual	Jeff Piziali	2629
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).		
Status		
 Responsive to communication(s) filed on 4/14/08,12/14/07,8/30/07,1/22/07,6/19/06. This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. 		
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 12 and 13 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-11 and 14-20 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 		
Application Papers		
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 25 August 2003 is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. 10/182,828. 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 		
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other: See Continua	ate atent Application

Continuation of Attachment(s) 6). Other: Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (37 CFR 1.121)

DETAILED ACTION

Priority

Acknowledgment is made of applicant's claim for foreign priority under 35
 U.S.C. 119(a)-(d). The certified copy has been filed in parent Application No. 10/182,818, filed on 2 August 2002.

Election/Restrictions

2. Applicant's election with traverse of **Species II** (wherein "*Claims 1-11 and 14-20 are readable on the elected species*")in the reply filed on 22 January 2007 is acknowledged.

The traversal is on the ground(s) that, "regarding the seven species identified by the Examiner, Applicants note that while the specification indicates that there are seven different embodiments, these embodiments do not all include mutually exclusive characteristics of one another, and therefore, do not all correspond to separate species" (see Page 1 of the Response filed 22 January 2007).

This is not found persuasive because the Applicant has neglected to point out distinct and specific supposed errors in the restriction requirement, and instead has presented a mere broad allegation that the requirement is in error.

The Applicant neglects to identify any embodiments or species which include only common/shared characteristics, with no mutually exclusive characteristics of one another. And no common/shared characteristics have been identified.

Art Unit: 2629

The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record. Each species recites mutually exclusive structural and/or operational characteristics of such species, distinguishing from the other species.

There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species would not likely be applicable to another species; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

- 3. Claims 12 and 13 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

 Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on 22 January 2007.
- 4. This application contains claims 12 and 13 drawn to an invention nonelected with traverse in the reply filed on 22 January 2007. A complete reply to the final rejection must include cancellation of nonelected claims or other appropriate action (37 CFR 1.144) See MPEP § 821.01.

Art Unit: 2629

5. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the

inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the

currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the

application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR

1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Drawings

6. The drawings have not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of

all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which

applicant may become aware in the figures.

Specification

7. The Substitute Specification filed 14 December 2007 has been deemed non-compliant.

See the attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment.

8. The originally submitted disclosure remains objected to at least because of the following

informalities:

Page 11, Line 8 should be changed from "deference" to "difference."

Page 13, Line 7 should be changed from "colr" to "color."

Appropriate correction is required.

Art Unit: 2629

9. The specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

Claim Objections

10. Claims 11 and 14 are objected to because they include reference characters which are not enclosed within parentheses.

Reference characters corresponding to elements recited in the detailed description of the drawings and used in conjunction with the recitation of the same element or group of elements in the claims should be enclosed within parentheses so as to avoid confusion with other numbers or characters which may appear in the claims. See MPEP § 608.01(m).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 11. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 12. Claims 1-11 and 14-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 13. The remaining claims are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being dependent upon rejected base claims.

Art Unit: 2629

Response to Arguments

14. Applicant's arguments filed 19 June 2006 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

The Applicant contends the Substitute Specification filed 14 December 2007 is compliant under C.F.R. § 1.121(b)(3) and C.F.R. § 1.125(c) (see Page 2 of the Response filed 14 April 2008). However, the examiner respectfully disagrees.

The attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment explains that the Amendment filed 14 December 2007 improperly removes text from the specification without using markings to show the changes relative to the immediate prior version of the specification of record (e.g., see at least Page 1, after the title of the invention, of the Amendment filed 14 December 2007).

In particular, the Amendment filed 14 December 2007 improperly deletes the sentence, "This is a Divisional Application of U.S. Application No. 10/182,828, filed August 2, 2002, which is the National Stage of International Application No. PCT/JP01/00807, filed February 2, 2001" (see Page 2 of the Preliminary Amendment filed 25 August 2003) from the specification without using markings to show the deletion.

The Applicant contends, "_" (see Page _ of the Response filed 19 June 2006). However, the examiner respectfully disagrees.

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 and 14-20 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

By such reasoning, rejection of the claims is deemed necessary, proper, and thereby maintained at this time.

Conclusion

15. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jeff Piziali whose telephone number is (571) 272-7678. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (6:30AM - 3PM).

Art Unit: 2629

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Bipin Shalwala can be reached on (571) 272-7681. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Jeff Piziali/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2629 2 July 2008